

05

EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT **IN THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY: THEORETICAL** **FOUNDATIONS, CONTEMPORARY TRENDS, AND FUTURE** **CHALLENGES**



EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT

IN THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY: THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS, CONTEMPORARY TRENDS, AND FUTURE CHALLENGES

LA EVALUACIÓN EDUCATIVA EN EL SIGLO XXI: FUNDAMENTOS TEÓRICOS, TENDENCIAS CONTEMPORÁNEAS Y DESAFÍOS FUTUROS

Alaviyya Nuri¹

E-mail: eleviyyenuri@ndu.edu.az

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4219-1071>

¹ Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan.

Suggested citation (APA, 7th edition)

Nuri, A. (2026). Educational assessment in the twenty-first century: theoretical foundations, contemporary trends, and future challenges. *Revista Mexicana de Investigación e Intervención Educativa*, 5(4), 51-59.

Submission: 07/04/2026

Acceptance: 08/21/2026

Publication: 10/01/2026

ABSTRACT

Educational assessment constitutes an essential component of the teaching and learning process, whose role has evolved from the simple measurement of academic achievement toward a comprehensive approach focused on competency development, continuous improvement, and evidence-based pedagogical decision-making. The present study aimed to analyze the theoretical foundations of educational assessment and identify the principal contemporary trends that are transforming its implementation across different educational levels. To achieve this objective, an integrative literature review was conducted based on scientific publications indexed in international databases, prioritizing recent research and influential theoretical contributions. The analysis revealed that diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment represent complementary processes that support instructional planning, learning monitoring, and the certification of learning outcomes. The findings also demonstrated a growing emphasis on authentic, student-centered assessment models that incorporate continuous feedback, self-regulated learning, and the evaluation of higher-order competencies such as critical thinking, creativity, communication, collaboration, and problem-solving. Furthermore, the review showed that digital transformation and the rapid expansion of artificial intelligence are significantly reshaping educational assessment systems by creating new opportunities for implementing more personalized, flexible, and evidence-based assessment practices. At the same time, these technological advances present important challenges related to academic integrity, equity, and the validity of assessment instruments.

Keywords:

Educational assessment, formative assessment, summative assessment, competencies, meaningful learning.

RESUMEN

La evaluación educativa constituye un componente esencial del proceso de enseñanza y aprendizaje, cuya función ha evolucionado desde la simple medición del rendimiento académico hacia un enfoque integral orientado al desarrollo de competencias, la mejora continua y la toma de decisiones pedagógicas fundamentadas en evidencias. El presente estudio tuvo como objetivo analizar los fundamentos teóricos de la evaluación educativa e identificar las principales tendencias contemporáneas que están transformando su aplicación en los diferentes niveles educativos. Para ello, se realizó una revisión de la literatura con enfoque integrador, basada en publicaciones científicas indexadas en bases de datos internacionales, priorizando investigaciones recientes y aportes teóricos de referencia. El análisis permitió identificar que la evaluación diagnóstica, formativa y sumativa constituyen procesos complementarios que favorecen la planificación de la enseñanza, el seguimiento del aprendizaje y la certificación de los logros alcanzados. Asimismo, se evidenció una creciente orientación hacia modelos de evaluación auténtica, centrados en el estudiante, que incorporan la retroalimentación continua, la autorregulación del aprendizaje y la valoración de competencias complejas como el pensamiento crítico, la creatividad, la comunicación, la colaboración y la resolución de problemas. La revisión también mostró que la transformación digital y el desarrollo de la inteligencia artificial están modificando significativamente los sistemas de evaluación, generando nuevas oportunidades para implementar procesos más personalizados, flexibles y basados en evidencias, aunque también plantean importantes desafíos relacionados con la integridad académica, la equidad y la validez de los instrumentos de evaluación.

Palabras clave:

Evaluación educativa, evaluación formativa, evaluación sumativa, competencias, aprendizaje significativo.

INTRODUCTION

Educational evaluation constitutes one of the fundamental pillars of teaching and learning processes, as it provides systematic information that enables educators to assess students' progress, identify learning needs, guide pedagogical decision-making, and promote the continuous improvement of educational quality. Beyond its traditional function of measuring academic achievement, educational evaluation has evolved into a comprehensive process that fosters competency development, self-regulated learning, and continuous feedback between teachers and students. Consequently, evaluation is no longer conceived solely as a certification mechanism but has become an essential component of the educational process and a strategic tool for optimizing learning outcomes (Brown, 2022; Ghaicha, 2016).

The specialized literature indicates that the concept of educational evaluation has undergone profound transformation over recent decades. Traditional approaches, primarily focused on measuring knowledge through standardized tests and final examinations, have gradually been replaced by more flexible, participatory, and learning-oriented models. This evolution reflects changes in educational systems, the incorporation of new learning theories, the adoption of active teaching methodologies, and the growing need to prepare students capable of solving complex problems, working collaboratively, and engaging in lifelong autonomous learning (Brown, 2022).

From a conceptual perspective, educational evaluation encompasses a set of systematic procedures designed to collect, analyze, and interpret information about learning in order to make informed judgments and guide improvement actions. In this regard, evaluation extends beyond the assignment of grades by integrating processes of observation, feedback, self-reflection, and decision-making that enable educators to adapt instructional strategies to students' actual learning needs. Ghaicha (2016) argues that educational evaluation should be understood as a continuous process integrated into the curriculum, in which the information obtained serves to improve both student performance and teaching practice.

Within this theoretical framework, the literature identifies three major types of evaluation that perform complementary functions throughout the educational process: diagnostic assessment, formative assessment, and summative assessment. Diagnostic assessment is conducted before the teaching process begins and aims to identify students' prior knowledge, strengths, weaknesses, interests, and learning needs. The information gathered makes it possible to design instructional strategies tailored to the educational context, personalize learning activities, and prevent potential academic difficulties from the earliest stages of the learning process.

Formative assessment, in turn, is currently regarded as one of the most strongly supported approaches in educational research because of its capacity to promote continuous learning through systematic feedback processes. Sadler (1989) argued that formative assessment is effective only when it provides students with sufficient information to recognize the gap between their current performance and the desired level of achievement, thereby fostering their ability to regulate their own learning. This perspective gave rise to the concept of **assessment for learning**, which views assessment as a tool intended to improve learning rather than merely measure final learning outcomes.

More recent studies have reinforced this perspective by demonstrating that formative assessment significantly contributes to the development of metacognitive skills, academic motivation, critical thinking, and learner autonomy. In this regard, Liu et al. (2024) emphasize the concept of **assessment as learning**, in which assessment itself becomes a learning experience capable of stimulating reflection, self-assessment, and the active construction of knowledge. This represents an important conceptual shift, as students move from being passive recipients of evaluation to becoming active participants in their own learning process.

By contrast, summative assessment continues to fulfill an essential role within educational systems by determining the extent to which learning outcomes have been achieved at the end of a lesson, course, or academic program. Although it has traditionally been associated with grading and certification, contemporary educational trends advocate a balanced integration of formative and summative assessment so that both contribute complementarily to improving learning rather than serving exclusively as mechanisms for measuring academic performance.

Digital transformation represents another major factor influencing contemporary educational evaluation systems. The development of virtual learning platforms, intelligent assessment systems, data analytics, artificial intelligence, and digital technologies has considerably expanded the possibilities for designing more flexible, personalized, and interactive assessments. These technologies facilitate the collection of real-time information on student performance, provide immediate feedback, and generate evidence that supports informed pedagogical decision-making. According to the World Assessment Council Network (2025), the digitalization of assessment represents one of the most significant trends that will reshape educational processes in the coming years by promoting more authentic, inclusive, and student-centered assessment models.

At the same time, literature highlights the need to design assessment processes that extend beyond the simple measurement of knowledge to evaluate complex competencies such as critical thinking, creativity, problem-solving, effective communication, collaboration, and the capacity

for autonomous learning. This orientation reflects the demands of contemporary societies, characterized by rapid technological change, continuous knowledge generation, and the need to prepare professionals capable of adapting to dynamic and evolving environments. Consequently, educational evaluation has assumed a strategic role as an instrument for promoting meaningful learning and supporting the comprehensive development of students.

Despite these significant advances, educational evaluation continues to face important challenges related to equity, validity, reliability, the authenticity of learning evidence, and the ethical integration of digital technologies. Furthermore, the rapid expansion of generative artificial intelligence has introduced new challenges for the design of assessment instruments capable of evaluating complex cognitive processes, original thinking, and the application of knowledge in authentic situations. These developments have stimulated the emergence of new assessment models focused on authenticity, active student participation, continuous feedback, and lifelong learning.

Accordingly, the present study aims to analyze the theoretical foundations of educational evaluation and examine the principal contemporary trends shaping their evolution across different educational levels. Through a review of recent scientific literature, this study seeks to identify the dominant conceptual approaches, the characteristics of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment, as well as the challenges and opportunities arising from digital transformation and emerging pedagogical models centered on student learning.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study employed a narrative literature review with an integrative approach to analyze the theoretical foundations, principal assessment models, and contemporary trends in educational assessment across different educational levels. This methodological design was selected because it allows the synthesis, interpretation, and critical discussion of evidence from diverse scientific sources, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of the evolution of educational assessment and its current challenges.

The literature search was conducted using internationally recognized scientific databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, Taylor & Francis Online, Wiley Online Library, and Google Scholar. The search strategy combined keywords and Boolean operators such as educational assessment, educational evaluation, diagnostic assessment, formative assessment, summative assessment, assessment for learning, assessment as competency-based assessment, authentic assessment, higher education assessment, artificial intelligence, and digital assessment.

The selection process prioritized peer-reviewed journal articles published primarily between 2020 and 2026 to ensure the inclusion of the most recent scientific evidence regarding contemporary developments in educational assessment. Classical and highly influential publications, including foundational theoretical works, were incorporated when their conceptual relevance justified their inclusion. Documents not directly related to educational assessment, conference abstracts, editorials, duplicate records, and studies lacking sufficient methodological rigor were excluded.

The selected literature was analyzed through a qualitative content analysis process involving successive stages of identification, classification, comparison, synthesis, and critical interpretation of the evidence. The findings were organized into thematic categories, including the theoretical foundations of educational assessment, diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment, competency-based assessment, authentic assessment, digital technologies, artificial intelligence, student engagement, and emerging trends in educational evaluation. This analytical approach facilitated the identification of convergences, differences, and research gaps while providing a comprehensive overview of current developments and future directions in educational assessment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Educational evaluation constitutes a systematic, continuous, and intentional process aimed at collecting, analyzing, and interpreting information about learning to make informed judgments and guide pedagogical decision-making. Its purpose extends beyond the simple assignment of grades, as it seeks to understand how students learn, identify the difficulties they encounter throughout the learning process, and design strategies that facilitate the achievement of educational objectives. From this perspective, evaluation becomes a central component of the teaching and learning process, enabling teachers to adapt their instructional practices while encouraging students to participate actively in the construction of their own knowledge (Brown, 2022; Ghaicha, 2016).

The scientific literature agrees that educational evaluation fulfills multiple functions within the teaching and learning process. Among the most important are diagnostic assessment, which identifies students' initial characteristics; formative assessment, which focuses on continuously monitoring learning progress; and summative assessment, which determines the level of achievement attained at the end of a specific instructional period. These approaches should not be understood as independent processes but rather as complementary components (Table 1) that serve different purposes and stages of learning (Sadler, 1989).

Table 1. Key elements of student assessment for learning.

Assessment Dimension	Key Elements	Common Assessment Strategies	Educational Purpose
Diagnostic assessment	Prior knowledge, learning needs, strengths, weaknesses	Diagnostic tests, questionnaires, interviews, concept maps	Identify students' starting point and guide instructional planning
Formative assessment	Continuous monitoring, feedback, self-regulation	Rubrics, portfolios, classroom discussions, quizzes, self- and peer-assessment	Improve learning during the instructional process
Summative assessment	Achievement of learning outcomes and competencies	Final examinations, projects, research papers, presentations	Certify learning and determine academic achievement
Feedback	Timeliness, clarity, opportunities for improvement	Written comments, conferences, digital feedback	Promote continuous learning and performance improvement
Authentic assessment	Application of knowledge to real contexts	Case studies, problem-based learning, real-world projects	Evaluate meaningful and transferable learning
Student participation	Active involvement in assessment	Self-assessment, peer assessment, reflective journals	Foster learner autonomy and responsibility

Diagnostic assessment constitutes the starting point of any effective educational process. Its primary objective is to identify students' prior knowledge, skills, competencies, strengths, and learning needs before the beginning of a teaching unit, course, or academic program. The information obtained enables teachers to determine the group's level of preparedness, identify potential conceptual gaps, and design instructional strategies aligned with students' characteristics. Furthermore, it promotes personalized learning by facilitating the development of differentiated learning activities that address the diverse performance levels found within the classroom.

Recent studies emphasize that diagnostic assessment has become increasingly relevant in student-centered educational models because it facilitates attention to learner diversity and reduces the likelihood of academic failure through early intervention based on identified learning needs. Its application is particularly appropriate at the beginning of the academic year, before introducing new instructional content, during academic leveling processes, or when students with diverse educational backgrounds enter a learning environment. The most used instruments include diagnostic tests, questionnaires, interviews, concept maps, systematic observations, and exploration activities that provide a comprehensive understanding of each student's initial learning profile (Asiah & Festiyed, 2024; Karumpa & Agus, 2025).

Formative assessment, in turn, represents one of the most influential approaches in contemporary educational research because of its capacity to promote learning while it is taking place. Its primary purpose is to provide continuous information to both teachers and students regarding learning progress, thereby enabling timely instructional adjustments that enhance the teaching process and facilitate the achievement of learning outcomes. Unlike traditional models focused exclusively on grading,

formative assessment emphasizes continuous feedback, active student participation, and self-regulated learning.

One of the most significant contributions to this approach was made by Sadler (1989), who argued that feedback becomes truly effective only when it enables students to understand the expected level of performance, recognize their current level of achievement, and identify the specific actions required to close the gap between the two. This perspective has served as the theoretical foundation for contemporary approaches such as *assessment for learning* and *assessment as learning*, both of which view assessment as a process integrated into learning rather than merely as a mechanism for measuring learning outcomes (Liu et al., 2024).

Formative assessment can be implemented throughout the teaching process through activities such as oral questioning, classroom discussions, case studies, problem-solving tasks, portfolios, rubrics, self-assessment, peer assessment, reflective journals, digital quizzes, and student response systems. These strategies enable continuous monitoring of learning, facilitate the identification of conceptual misunderstandings, provide immediate feedback, and strengthen competencies such as critical thinking, creativity, metacognition, and learner autonomy. Numerous studies have demonstrated that the systematic implementation of formative assessment enhances academic motivation, improves academic performance, reduces test anxiety, and promotes deeper and more meaningful learning (Ismail et al., 2022; Molin et al., 2022; Sirianansopa, 2024).

In contrast, summative assessment aims to determine the extent to which learning objectives have been achieved at the conclusion of a unit, semester, course, or academic program. Traditionally, this type of assessment has been used to assign grades, certify competencies, validate knowledge, and support decisions regarding student

promotion or graduation. Although it has historically been criticized for emphasizing final results rather than the learning process itself, summative assessment continues to play an essential role within educational systems by providing objective evidence of students' level of achievement.

The most common instruments used in summative assessment include written examinations, objective tests, final projects, research papers, oral presentations, professional practice assessments, comprehensive portfolios, and performance-based evaluations. Current educational trends advocate complementing these traditional assessment methods with authentic learning activities that evaluate the application of knowledge in real-world contexts, thereby promoting a more comprehensive assessment of students' competencies. Accordingly, recent studies recommend that summative assessment incorporate explicit evaluation criteria, transparent rubrics, and meaningful feedback processes capable of transforming assessment into an additional opportunity for learning (Dyer, 2024; Mondal et al., 2024).

The literature review demonstrates that the evolution of educational assessment systems has been accompanied by significant changes in their purposes, methodologies, and instruments. Although diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment continue to represent the fundamental pillars of the assessment process, recent research reveals a clear shift toward more comprehensive, flexible, and learning-centered models. This transformation reflects the need to evaluate not only knowledge acquisition but also the development of competencies, skills, and abilities that enable students to perform effectively in increasingly complex academic, professional, and social contexts (Table 2).

Table 2. Dimensions of comprehensive student development to be assessed.

Dimension	Indicators	Suggested Evidence
Cognitive development	Knowledge acquisition, analysis, problem solving	Exams, projects, case studies
Critical thinking	Argumentation, evidence-based reasoning	Essays, debates, case analyses
Creativity	Innovation, originality, idea generation	Projects, portfolios, design tasks
Communication	Oral, written, and digital communication	Presentations, reports, academic writing
Collaboration	Teamwork, leadership, shared responsibility	Group projects, peer evaluations
Self-regulated learning	Reflection, planning, learning autonomy	Learning journals, self-assessment
Digital competence	Ethical and effective use of digital technologies and AI	Digital assignments, online activities

Dimension	Indicators	Suggested Evidence
Ethical and social responsibility	Academic integrity, respect, responsibility	Observation, reflective activities
Socio-emotional development	Empathy, resilience, emotional regulation	Reflective journals, classroom observation

In this regard, Hurskaya et al. (2024) argue that educational assessment in the twenty-first century should focus on measuring essential competencies, including critical thinking, creativity, communication, collaboration, and problem-solving. The authors maintain that this evolution requires replacing models based exclusively on standardized testing with more authentic, contextualized, and diversified assessment strategies capable of capturing students' performance in real learning situations. They further emphasize that the integration of active learning methodologies and technological resources expands the possibilities for developing more objective, personalized, and educationally relevant assessment processes.

Furthermore, several studies agree that the effectiveness of assessment depends not only on the instruments employed but also on its influence on students' motivation and engagement with learning. Kusurkar et al. (2023) demonstrated that the characteristics of assessment systems shape the way students approach academic tasks, directly influencing intrinsic motivation, self-regulated learning, and the depth of learning. The authors conclude that assessments supported by timely feedback, transparent evaluation criteria, and opportunities for improvement foster higher levels of engagement and participation, whereas systems focused exclusively on grading tend to encourage superficial learning strategies. These findings reinforce the importance of viewing assessment as a process that promotes learning rather than merely serving as a mechanism for making final judgments about academic performance.

The rapid expansion of artificial intelligence has introduced new challenges for assessment systems, requiring higher education institutions to reconsider many of their traditional assessment strategies. Su et al. (2026) argue that the widespread availability of tools capable of generating texts, solving problems, and producing sophisticated responses requires the design of assessments that evaluate higher-order cognitive processes, including reasoning, creativity, problem-solving, and the contextual application of knowledge. The authors advocate the adoption of authentic assessment models based on case studies, interdisciplinary projects, portfolios, oral presentations, and real-world learning activities in which students demonstrate competencies that cannot easily be replicated through automated systems. From this perspective, artificial intelligence should no longer be viewed solely as a threat to

academic integrity but also as an opportunity to promote methodological innovations that enhance learning quality.

Consistent with these developments, Walker (2025) identifies several emerging trends that are reshaping assessment in higher education. Among the most prominent are curriculum-level assessment redesign, the growing implementation of authentic assessment activities, the widespread integration of digital technologies, the adoption of hybrid assessment approaches, and the increasing involvement of students in the design of assessment activities. The report also highlights the need to strengthen institutional technological infrastructure and develop policies that support the ethical, inclusive, and transparent integration of artificial intelligence into assessment processes. Together, these trends reflect the evolution of assessment systems toward greater flexibility and alignment with contemporary approaches to teaching and learning.

Similarly, Bin Mubayrik (2020) emphasizes that formative and summative assessment should not be interpreted as opposing approaches but rather as complementary processes that fulfill different functions throughout the educational experience. While formative assessment provides continuous information to improve learning as it develops, summative assessment determines the extent to which learning objectives have been achieved at the end of an instructional period. The author argues that a balanced integration of both approaches enhances assessment quality, strengthens continuous feedback, encourages active student participation, and provides a more comprehensive understanding of students' academic progress.

The incorporation of digital technologies has also facilitated the emergence of innovative assessment systems supported by virtual learning environments and intelligent technological tools. Topuz et al. (2022) observed that emergency remote teaching significantly accelerated the adoption of online assessment systems, incorporating automated feedback mechanisms, dynamic item banks, adaptive assessment activities, and multiple approaches for evaluating learning. According to the authors, these technologies provide greater flexibility, facilitate continuous monitoring of student progress, and enable the collection of large volumes of educational data that support evidence-based pedagogical decision-making. Nevertheless, they also emphasize the importance of strengthening the security, validity, and reliability of digital assessment systems to ensure the quality of educational outcomes.

Moreover, Zhao et al. (2022) examined the evolution of research concerning students' evaluations of teaching in higher education, identifying sustained scientific interest in understanding how student perceptions contribute to improving educational quality. Their review indicates that student evaluations constitute an important source of information for strengthening teaching practices, improving instructional processes, and supporting institutional decision-making based on empirical evidence. The authors

also emphasize the need to employ valid and reliable instruments capable of accurately interpreting student perceptions while minimizing potential biases associated with factors unrelated to teaching effectiveness.

The benefits of formative assessment have also been extensively documented by Ismail et al. (2022), who compared its effects with those of predominantly summative assessment systems. Their findings indicate that formative assessment significantly enhances academic motivation, improves attitudes toward learning, strengthens self-regulation skills, and reduces test anxiety. By contrast, assessment systems based primarily on final examinations tend to generate higher levels of academic pressure and encourage study strategies aimed mainly at passing examinations rather than achieving meaningful learning. These findings support the incorporation of continuous feedback processes into assessment practices to promote deeper and more enduring learning.

Likewise, Sirianansopa (2024) demonstrated that the systematic application of formative assessment techniques significantly improves students' academic achievement by providing continuous information regarding their progress and learning difficulties. The study highlights that immediate feedback facilitates the timely correction of errors, increases student participation during learning activities, and strengthens content comprehension. Furthermore, formative assessment enables teachers to introduce timely instructional adjustments, thereby promoting a more dynamic educational process that is responsive to students' actual learning needs.

Similar findings were reported by Jurevic & Wilgenbusch (2026), who examined the impact of various classroom assessment techniques on students' learning behaviors. Their findings indicate that the systematic incorporation of brief assessment strategies promotes the development of more effective study habits, increases student participation, and enhances preparation for subsequent assessments. The authors conclude that these techniques not only provide teachers with valuable information about students' progress but also stimulate continuous reflection on learning, thereby strengthening learner autonomy, responsibility, and academic engagement.

The need to integrate different forms of assessment is also emphasized by Dyer (2024), who explains that diagnostic, formative, summative, and interim assessments each serve distinct yet complementary functions throughout the educational process and should therefore be implemented in an integrated manner. According to the author, diagnostic assessment identifies students' initial learning conditions, formative assessment supports competency development through continuous feedback, interim assessment monitors progress during instruction, and summative assessment determines the extent to which learning outcomes have been achieved. The coordinated use of these assessment approaches provides a more

comprehensive understanding of student learning and supports pedagogical decisions grounded in objective evidence.

Similarly, Asiah and Festiyed (2024) propose the development of integrated diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment instruments within project-based learning environments, demonstrating that this approach significantly enhances students' critical and creative thinking skills. Their findings indicate that combining multiple assessment modalities facilitates continuous monitoring of student progress, enables the timely identification of learning difficulties, and provides a more objective evaluation of complex competencies, thereby overcoming many of the limitations associated with traditional assessment systems based primarily on final examinations.

From a complementary perspective, Molin et al. (2022) highlights the importance of incorporating diagnostic cues and guiding prompts into formative assessment activities to strengthen students' metacognitive processes. Their conceptual framework demonstrates that learners develop greater self-regulation skills when they receive information that enables them to continuously monitor their own learning, recognize misconceptions, and adjust their study strategies accordingly. Consequently, assessment becomes an ongoing learning process rather than merely a procedure for assigning grades.

Likewise, Karumpa & Agus (2025) emphasize the strategic role of diagnostic assessment in educational planning. The authors argue that the early identification of students' prior knowledge, strengths, weaknesses, and learning interests enables teachers to design instructional strategies tailored to individual learning needs, thereby promoting more inclusive and effective teaching practices. In addition, diagnostic assessment facilitates the timely identification of learning difficulties and supports the implementation of pedagogical interventions before these challenges significantly affect academic performance.

Finally, Mondal et al. (2024) demonstrate that combining formative and summative assessments constitutes an effective strategy for the early identification of students at risk of poor academic performance. Their findings indicate that continuous monitoring through periodic assessments enables educators to implement timely support measures before the final evaluation, thereby increasing students' likelihood of academic success and reducing failure rates. Overall, these results confirm that integrating different assessment approaches provides a more comprehensive understanding of student progress and strengthens teachers' capacity to intervene effectively in support of learning.

CONCLUSIONS

Educational assessment has evolved from a process focused primarily on measuring academic achievement to a comprehensive and student-centered approach that

supports learning, competency development, and continuous educational improvement. The literature reviewed demonstrates that diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment should not be regarded as isolated practices but rather as complementary components of an integrated assessment system that provides meaningful evidence for informed pedagogical decision-making.

The findings also indicate that contemporary assessment increasingly emphasizes authentic learning experiences, continuous feedback, learner autonomy, and the evaluation of higher-order competencies such as critical thinking, creativity, communication, collaboration, and problem-solving. These approaches contribute not only to improving academic performance but also to fostering students' comprehensive development and lifelong learning skills.

Furthermore, the rapid expansion of digital technologies and generative artificial intelligence is reshaping educational assessment by creating opportunities for more personalized, flexible, and evidence-based evaluation practices. At the same time, these technological advances require educational institutions to redesign assessment strategies capable of evaluating reasoning, originality, ethical decision-making, and the authentic application of knowledge in real-world contexts while preserving academic integrity.

Overall, the evidence suggests that the future of educational assessment lies in the balanced integration of diagnostic, formative, and summative approaches supported by authentic assessment methods, transparent evaluation criteria, timely feedback, and responsible technological innovation. Future research should continue exploring the effectiveness of artificial intelligence-assisted assessment, competency-based evaluation models, learning analytics, and digital assessment systems across diverse educational contexts to strengthen educational quality and promote meaningful, inclusive, and sustainable learning.

REFERENCES

- Asiah, S., & Festiyed. (2024). Development of diagnostic, formative and summative assessment instruments in the PjBL model to stimulate students' critical and creative thinking skills. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 10(10), 7486–7492. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v10i10.8757>
- Bin Mubayrik, H. F. (2020). New trends in formative-summative evaluations for adult education. *SAGE Open*, 10(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020941006>
- Brown, G. T. L. (2022). The past, present and future of educational assessment: A transdisciplinary perspective. *Frontiers in Education*, 7, Article 1060633. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.1060633>

- Dyer, K. (2024). *Understanding formative, summative, and interim assessment and their role in student learning*. NWEA. <https://www.nwea.org/blog/2024/understanding-formative-interim-summative-assessments-role-student-learning/>
- Gan, Q., & Liu, M. (2026). Research on the evaluation of undergraduate students' core competencies in the context of industry–education integration. *Scientific Reports*, *16*, Article 1005. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-30711-0>
- Ghaicha, A. (2016). Theoretical framework for educational assessment: A synoptic review. *Journal of Education and Practice*, *7*(24), 212–231. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1112912.pdf>
- Hurskaya, V., Mykhaylenko, S., Kartashova, Z., Kushevska, N., & Zaverukha, Y. (2024). Assessment and evaluation methods for 21st century education: Measuring what matters. *Futurity Education*, *4*(4), 4–17. <https://doi.org/10.57125/FED.2024.12.25.01>
- Ismail, S. M., Rahul, D. R., Patra, I., & Rezvani, E. (2022). Formative vs. summative assessment: impacts on academic motivation, attitude toward learning, test anxiety, and self-regulation skill. *Language Testing in Asia*, *12*(1), 40. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-022-00191-4>
- Jurevic, V., & Wilgenbusch, B. (2026). Enhancing student study behaviors through classroom assessment techniques. *Teaching and Learning in Nursing*, *21*(3), 285–290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teln.2025.12.012>
- Karumpa, A., & Agus, M. (2025). The role of diagnostic assessment in the preparation of learning strategies that focus on the needs of students. *Al-Ishlah: Jurnal Pendidikan*, *17*(3). <https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v17i3.7115>
- Kusurkar, R. A., Orsini, C., Somra, S., Artino, A. R., Jr., Daelmans, H. E. M., Schoonmade, L. J., & van der Vleuten, C. (2023). The effect of assessments on student motivation for learning and its outcomes in health professions education: A review and realist synthesis. *Academic Medicine*, *98*(9), 1083–1092. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000005263>
- Liu, Y., Xu, G., Yuan, S., Zhou, C., & Wang, C. (2024). Assessment as learning: Evidence based on meta-analysis and quantitative ethnography research. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, *83*, Article 101423. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2024.101423>
- Molin, F., de Bruin, A., & Haelermans, C. (2022). A conceptual framework to understand learning through formative assessments with student response systems: The role of prompts and diagnostic cues. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, *6*(1), Article 100323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2022.100323>
- Mondal, H., Tiu, D. N., Juhi, A., & Mondal, S. (2024). Early Identification of Low Scorers: The Role of Formative Assessments and Summative Assessments. *Cureus*, *16*(12), e76118. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.76118>
- Sadler, D. R. (1989). Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems. *Instructional Science*, *18*(2), 119–144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00117714>
- Sirianansopa K. (2024). Evaluating students' learning achievements using the formative assessment technique: a retrospective study. *BMC medical education*, *24*(1), 1373. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-06347-5>
- Su, F., O'Dea, M., Wood, M., & Seidl, E. (2026). Embracing a new world: Authentic assessment designs in an age of generative artificial intelligence (GenAI). *Perspectives: Policy and Practice in Higher Education*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603108.2025.2601741>
- Topuz, A. C., Saka, E., Fatsa, Ö. F., & Kurşun, E. (2022). Emerging trends of online assessment systems in the emergency remote teaching period. *Smart Learning Environments*, *9*, Article 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-022-00199-6>
- Walker, S. (2025). *Trends in assessment in higher education: Considerations for policy and practice*. Jisc. <https://www.jisc.ac.uk/reports/trends-in-assessment-in-higher-education-considerations-for-policy-and-practice>
- World Assessment Council Network. (2025). *The future of assessments: Embracing the digital revolution in higher education*. <https://worldassessmentcouncil.org/the-future-of-assessments-embracing-the-digital-revolution-in-higher-education/>
- Zhao, L., Xu, P., Chen, Y., & Yan, S. (2022). A literature review of the research on students' evaluation of teaching in higher education. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*, Article 1004487. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1004487>

Conflicts of Interest:

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

Author Contributions:

Alaviyya Nuri: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, supervision, validation, visualization, original draft writing, and writing, review, and editing.

Ethical statement:

The study was based on the analysis of documentary sources and publicly available data, and therefore did not involve the direct participation of human subjects. No personally identifiable information was handled.